Cassandra on Armv8 - A comparison with x86 and other platforms

• • •

Sankalp Sah, Manish Singh *MityLytics Inc*

Why ARM for Cassandra?

- RISC architecture as opposed to x86
- Lower Cost
- Thermals
- Power and it's management
- Cost per operation
- High number of CPUs on each board
- Memory throughput
- Lots of simple instructions executed in parallel

Caveats

- 1. Bleeding edge
- 2. Performance not yet tuned
- 3. Efforts on to tune for ARM via AdoptJDK and Linaro distributions

ARMv8 - Specifications of platform

Each machine:

- 1. 96-core Cavium ThunderX @2GHz
- 2. 128GB RAM
- 3. 1 x 340GB Enterprise SSD
- 4. 2 x 10Gbps Bonded Ports

Evaluation - The operator view

- Cost \$0.50/hour at Packet.net 96 core
 ThunderX from cavium at 2.0GHz
- Thermals
- Power consumption
- Dollar cost per-operation
- Utilization Workload fit

Evaluation of Performance - micro perspective

- Write operations
- Read-write mix
- Max achievable
- Latency
- Co-tenanted applications should not evaluate in isolation.

- 1. Throughput
 - a. Max operations per sec 192,449
 - b. Sustained Throughput 129,170
- Latency
 - a. Latency mean : 1.5 [WRITE:1.5]
 - b. latency median : 0.8 [WRITE:0.8]
 - c. latency 95th percentile: 2.6 [WRITE:2.6]
 - d. latency 99th percentile: 7.3 [WRITE:7.3]
 - e. latency 99.9th percentile: 170.9 [WRITE:170.9]
 - f. latency max : 321.6 [WRITE:321.6]

- 1. Throughput
 - a. Max operations per sec 220,000
 - b. Sustained Throughput 137,689
- Latency
 - a. latency mean : 1.4 [WRITE:1.4]
 - b. latency median : 0.8 [WRITE:0.8]
 - c. latency 95th percentile: 1.3 [WRITE:1.3]
 - d. latency 99th percentile: 4.3 [WRITE:4.3]
 - e. latency 99.9th percentile: 45.4 [WRITE:45.4]
 - f. latency max : 397.0 [WRITE:397.0]

- 1. Throughput
 - a. Max operations per sec 193,220
 - b. Sustained Throughput 124,784
- 2. Latency
 - a. latency mean : 1.5 [WRITE:1.5]
 - b. latency median : 0.8 [WRITE:0.8]
 - c. latency 95th percentile: 1.4 [WRITE:1.4]
 - d. latency 99th percentile: 4.3 [WRITE:4.3]
 - e. latency 99.9th percentile : 41.1 [WRITE:41.1]
 - f. latency max : 567.4 [WRITE:567.4]

- 1. Throughput
 - a. Max operations per sec 206k
 - b. Sustained Throughput 129,000
- Latency
 - a. latency mean : 1.5 [WRITE:1.5]
 - b. latency median : 0.8 [WRITE:0.8]
 - c. latency 95th percentile: 1.3 [WRITE:1.3]
 - d. latency 99th percentile : 2.1 [WRITE:2.1]
 - e. latency 99.9th percentile: 72.3 [WRITE:72.3]
 - f. latency max : 584.0 [WRITE:584.0]

1 million Read-Write mixed workloads -75% read 25% writes

- 1. Throughput
 - a. Max operations per sec 124k
 - b. Sustained Throughput 123k
- 2. Latency
 - a. patency mean : 2.1 [READ:2.5, WRITE:1.2]
 - b. latency median : 0.7 [READ:0.7, WRITE:0.7]
 - c. latency 95th percentile: 6.2 [READ:6.4, WRITE:2.2]
 - d. latency 99th percentile: 7.6 [READ:8.1, WRITE:2.7]
 - e. latency 99.9th percentile: 51.5 [READ:54.7, WRITE:25.3]
 - f. latency max : 124.0 [READ:124.0, WRITE:113.0]

10 million Read-Write mixed workloads -75%read 25% writes

- 1. Throughput
 - a. Peak 150,842
 - b. Sustained 122,000
- 2. Latency
 - a. latency mean : 4.9 [READ:5.1, WRITE:4.1]
 - b. latency median : 2.2 [READ:2.3, WRITE:1.7]
 - c. latency 95th percentile: 6.2 [READ:6.7, WRITE:5.4]
 - d. latency 99th percentile: 25.2 [READ:88.8, WRITE:85.3]
 - e. latency 99.9th percentile: 125.9 [READ:128.7, WRITE:127.2]
 - f. latency max : 256.2 [READ:256.2, WRITE:247.4]

20 million Read-Write mixed workloads -75%read 25% writes

- 1. Throughput
 - a. Peak 147k
 - b. Sustained 138k
- 2. Latency
 - a. latency mean : 6.6 [READ:6.8, WRITE:5.8]
 - b. latency median : 3.1 [READ:3.3, WRITE:2.7]
 - c. latency 95th percentile: 9.6 [READ:10.5, WRITE:8.5]
 - d. latency 99th percentile: 97.7 [READ:104.3, WRITE:99.6]
 - e. latency 99.9th percentile : 138.6 [READ:142.0, WRITE:140.5]
 - f. latency max : 429.4 [READ:429.4, WRITE:421.9]

50 million Read-Write mixed workloads -75% Read 25% Writes

- 1. Throughput
 - a. Peak 155k
 - b. Sustained 135k
- 2. Latency
 - a. patency mean : 6.7 [READ:6.9, WRITE:6.0]
 - b. latency median : 3.2 [READ:3.4, WRITE:2.7]
 - c. latency 95th percentile: 8.6 [READ:9.5, WRITE:8.0]
 - d. latency 99th percentile : 101.3 [READ:117.9, WRITE:107.8]
 - e. latency 99.9th percentile: 140.0 [READ:142.4, WRITE:141.6]
 - f. latency max : 229.2 [READ:229.2, WRITE:186.4]

Perf counters for ARM - while running cassandra stress

Overall CPU at 44%, Memory usage at 60GB

711069.602520	task-clock (msec)	#	96.046 CPUs utilized
14,802	context-switches	#	0.004 K/sec
137	cpu-migrations	#	0.000 K/sec
7,207	page-faults	#	0.002 K/sec
7,422,259,052,720	cycles	#	2.000 GHz
3,929,716,281	stalled-cycles-frontend	#	0.05% frontend cycles idle
7,384,719,523,004	stalled-cycles-backend	#	99.49% backend cycles idle
43,938,297,479	instructions	#	0.01 insns per cycle
		#	168.07 stalled cycles per insn
6,114,998,824	branches	#	1.648 M/sec
375,388,710	branch-misses	#	6.14% of all branches

Performance counter stats for the JVM

1.	285.560310	task-clock (msec)	#	1.239 CPUs utilized
2.	359 c	ontext-switches	#	0.001 M/sec
3.	231 c	pu-migrations	#	0.809 K/sec
4.	2,855 p	page-faults	#	0.010 M/sec
5.	565,162,728	cycles	#	1.979 GHz
6.	114,307,459	stalled-cycles-frontend	#	20.23% frontend cycles idle
7.	280,646,883	stalled-cycles-backend	#	49.66% backend cycles idle
8.	205,551,207	instructions	#	0.36 insns per cycle
9.			#	1.37 stalled cycles per insn
10.	28,882,484	branches	#	101.143 M/sec
11.	4,453,137	branch-misses	#	15.42% of all branches

Packet.net Type-1 node

- Intel E3-1240 v3 4 physical Cores @ 3.4 GHz
- 32GB
- 2 x 120GB Enterprise SSD
- 2 x 1Gbps Bonded Ports
- \$0.40/hr on demand pricing

1 million writes

Throughput - 3 node

Peak : 174877

Sustained : 154738

Latency:

latency mean : 1.3 [WRITE:1.3]

latency median : 0.7 [WRITE:0.7]

latency 95th percentile : 2.7 [WRITE:2.7]

latency 99th percentile : 5.0 [WRITE:5.0]

latency 99.9th percentile: 44.7 [WRITE:44.7]

latency max : 82.5 [WRITE:82.5]

1 million Read-Write mixed workloads -75% read 25% writes

- 1. Throughput
 - a. Max operations per sec 117k
 - b. Sustained Throughput 117k
- 2. Latency
 - a. latency mean : 1.5 [READ:1.6, WRITE:1.3]
 - b. latency median : 1.5 [READ:0.7, WRITE:0.6]
 - c. latency 95th percentile: 4.2[READ:4.5, WRITE:3.6]
 - d. latency 99th percentile: 9.9 [READ:10.6, WRITE:9.6]
 - e. latency 99.9th percentile: 86.5 [READ:86.7, WRITE:51.6]
 - f. latency max : 88 ms [READ:88.0, WRITE:86.2]

10 million Read-Write mixed workloads -75%read 25% writes

- 1. Throughput
 - a. Max operations per sec 86k
 - b. Sustained Throughput 80k
- 2. Latency
 - a. latency mean : 5.0 [READ:5.1, WRITE:4.9]
 - b. latency median : 1.8 [READ:1.8, WRITE:1.7]
 - c. latency 95th percentile: 15.5 [READ:16.4, WRITE:14.8]
 - d. latency 99th percentile: 43.0 [READ:49.2, WRITE:43.5]
 - e. latency 99.9th percentile: 87.4 [READ:97.4, WRITE:86.1]
 - f. latency max : 377.3 [READ:377.3, WRITE:299.7]

Performance counters - while running Cassandra-stress - Type 1

243304.786828	task-clock (msec)	#	7.994 CPUs utilized
4,770,619	context-switches	#	0.020 M/sec
533,669	cpu-migrations	#	0.002 M/sec
32,955	page-faults	#	0.135 K/sec
823,721,139,097	cycles	#	3.386 GHz
793,542,050,783	instructions	#	0.96 insns per cycle
139,500,426,441	branches	#	573.357 M/sec
1,239,316,562	branch-misses	#	0.89% of all branches

Idle perf counters - Type 1 cluster on Packet

75615.159586	task-clock (msec)	#	7.995 CPUs utilized
1,504	context-switches	#	0.020 K/sec
23	cpu-migrations	#	0.000 K/sec
2	page-faults	#	0.000 K/sec
102,605,745	cycles	#	0.001 GHz
17,332,602	instructions	#	0.17 insns per cycle
3,499,854	branches	#	0.046 M/sec
412,360	branch-misses	#	11.78% of all branches

Characterisation for Type-1

- 1. Peak CPU hit 81%
- 2. Peak Memory hit ~100
- 3. Performance starts to degrade after 1 million read-writes.

Amazon i3.4xlarge - 1million writes - 3 nodes

Throughput:

Peak 135,700

Sustained 114,906 op/s [WRITE: 114,906 op/s]

Latency:

Latency mean : 1.7 ms [WRITE: 1.7 ms]

Latency median : 1.1 ms [WRITE: 1.1 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 4.0 ms [WRITE: 4.0 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 10.5 ms [WRITE: 10.5 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 90.8 ms [WRITE: 90.8 ms]

Latency max : 218.8 ms [WRITE: 218.8 ms]

Amazon i3.4xlarge - 10 million writes - 3 nodes

Throughput : 126,064 op/s [WRITE: 126,064 op/s]

Latency mean : 1.6 ms [WRITE: 1.6 ms]

Latency median : 1.0 ms [WRITE: 1.0 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 3.8 ms [WRITE: 3.8 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 8.5 ms [WRITE: 8.5 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 99.4 ms [WRITE: 99.4 ms]

Latency max : 268.7 ms [WRITE: 268.7 ms]

AWS i3 4xlarge - 20 million writes - 3 nodes

Throughput : 123,022 op/s [WRITE: 123,022 op/s]

Latency mean : 1.6 ms [WRITE: 1.6 ms]

Latency median : 1.0 ms [WRITE: 1.0 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 3.8 ms [WRITE: 3.8 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 8.4 ms [WRITE: 8.4 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 82.9 ms [WRITE: 82.9 ms]

Latency max : 195.3 ms [WRITE: 195.3 ms]

AWS i3 4xlarge - 50 million writes - 3 node

Throughput : 121,055 op/s [WRITE: 121,055 op/s]

Latency mean : 1.6 ms [WRITE: 1.6 ms]

Latency median : 1.0 ms [WRITE: 1.0 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 3.8 ms [WRITE: 3.8 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 8.8 ms [WRITE: 8.8 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 80.8 ms [WRITE: 82.9 ms]

Latency max : 156 [WRITE: 156.3 ms

AWS i3 4xl perf counters

JVM

1309.462273	task-clock (msec)	#	16.294 CPUs utilized
1,296	context-switches	#	0.990 K/sec
87	cpu-migrations	#	0.066 K/sec
3,304	page-faults	#	0.003 M/sec

AWS i3.4xlarge - 3 node - with external client

Peak throughput - 310k ops/sec

Op rate : 272,544 op/s [WRITE: 272,544 op/s]

Partition rate : 272,544 pk/s [WRITE: 272,544 pk/s]

Row rate : 272,544 row/s [WRITE: 272,544 row/s]

Latency mean : 3.3 ms [WRITE: 3.3 ms]

Latency median : 1.9 ms [WRITE: 1.9 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 5.7 ms [WRITE: 5.7 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 71.2 ms [WRITE: 71.2 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 92.5 ms [WRITE: 92.5 ms]

Latency max : 254.9 ms [WRITE: 254.9 ms]

AWS i3.4xlarge - single node - write workload

Peak Throughput : 133k ops/sec - 20 million operations.

Sustained throughput : 114k ops/sec

Latency mean : 1.7 ms

Latency median : 1.2 ms

Latency 95th percentile : 3.2 ms

Latency 99th percentile : 6.6 ms

Latency 99.9th percentile: 94.0 ms

Latency max : 584.1 ms

AWS i3.4xlarge - single node - mixed read 75%-write 25%

Peak Throughput : 112.5k ops/sec - 100 million operations.

Sustained throughput : 102k ops/sec

Latency mean : 8.9 ms [READ: 8.9 WRITE: 8.9ms]

Latency median : 6.4 ms [READ: 6.4 WRITE: 6.4 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 19.3 ms [READ: 19.4 WRITE: 19.6 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 65.4 ms [READ: 65.4 WRITE: 65.5 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 103.5 ms [READ: 103.4 WRITE: 103.9 ms]

Latency max : 276.0 ms [READ: 276.0 WRITE: 271.3 ms]

GPU - g2.8xlarge node - 1 million writes

Throughput:

```
Peak throughput: 118,973 ops/sec
```

Sustained : 102,840 ops/sec

Latency:

```
Latency mean : 1.8 ms [WRITE: 1.8 ms]
```

Latency median : 1.4 ms [WRITE: 1.4 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 2.7 ms [WRITE: 2.7 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 4.7 ms [WRITE: 4.7 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 125.3 ms [WRITE: 125.3 ms]

Latency max : 204.7 ms [WRITE: 204.7 ms]

GPU - g2.8xlarge node - 10 million writes

Throughput:

```
Peak throughput: 131,658 ops/sec
```

Sustained : 109,202 ops/sec

Latency:

```
Latency mean : 1.8 ms [WRITE: 1.8 ms]
```

Latency median : 1.5 ms [WRITE: 1.5 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 2.6 ms [WRITE: 2.6 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 3.7 ms [WRITE: 3.7 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 118.0 ms [WRITE: 118.0 ms]

Latency max : 551.0 ms [WRITE: 551.0 ms]

GPU - g2.8xlarge node - 20 million writes

Throughput:

```
Peak throughput: 120,640 ops/sec
```

Sustained : 99,776 ops/sec

Latency:

```
Latency mean : 2.0 ms [WRITE: 1.8 ms]
```

Latency median : 1.5 ms [WRITE: 1.5 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 2.8 ms [WRITE: 2.6 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 3.9 ms [WRITE: 3.7 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 109.6 ms [WRITE: 118.0 ms]

Latency max : 1201.7 ms [WRITE: 551.0 ms]

GPU - g2.8xlarge node - 75% Read- 25%write mixed workload

Throughput:

Peak throughput: 117, 759 ops/sec - For 10 million operations

Sustained : 107, 049 ops/sec

Latency:

Latency mean : 8.5 ms [READ 8.8 ms, WRITE: 7.6 ms]

Latency median : 6.6 ms [READ 6.8, WRITE: 5.8 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 15.5 ms [READ 15.5 ms WRITE: 14.4 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 66.5 ms [READ 67.0 ms WRITE: 64.1 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 91.3 ms [READ 91.8 WRITE: 90.0 ms]

Latency max : 213.1 ms [READ 213.1 WRITE: 134.3 ms]

ARMv8 - Type 2a node from Packet - 10 million writes

Throughput:

```
Peak throughput: 140,247ops/sec
```

Sustained : 91,675 ops/sec

Latency:

```
Latency mean : 2.1 ms [WRITE: 2.1 ms]
```

Latency median : 1.3 ms [WRITE: 1.3 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 3.5 ms [WRITE: 3.5 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 8.1 ms [WRITE: 8.1 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 83.8 ms [WRITE: 83.8 ms]

Latency max : 470.3 ms [WRITE: 470.3 ms]

ARMv8 - Type 2a node from Packet - 75% Read- 25%write mixed workload

```
Throughput:
```

Peak : 59,110 ops/sec - For 10 million operations

Sustained : 54,909 ops/sec

Latency:

Latency mean : 2.2ms [READ: 2.5 ms WRITE: 1.0 ms]

Latency median : 1.7 ms[READ: 1.8 ms WRITE: 0.7 ms]

Latency 95th percentile : 2.4 ms [READ: 2.5 ms WRITE: 1.0 ms]

Latency 99th percentile : 66.5 ms [READ 3.5 ms WRITE: 1.2 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 91.3 ms [READ: 128.2 WRITE: 22.9 ms]

Latency max : 301.0 ms [READ 301.0 ms WRITE: 286.1 ms]

Some key considerations

- How many bytes can you push through the network.
- How much memory throughput can you get?
- How many instructions can you execute? Several different measures
- How much storage bandwidth can you get?

Characterisation for ARMv8

- 1. Scales well as writes go up to 50 million on a 3-node cluster with peak CPU and memory at 40-50% even with large number of client threads on the machines.
- 2. Performs favorably when compared to other bare-metal co-tenanted clusters for eg packet type-1 nodes where peak write performance for 3-node cluster was 117k write operations/sec and memory usage was 90% while peak CPU usage was 88%.
- 3. Performs favorably to VM environments such as the recommended Amazon i3 nodes where peak performance is 126k write operations/sec for a 3-node multi-tenanted system.

Cassandra

- Massive number of deployments
- JVM performance
- What is optimizable in Cassandra for ARM based server
- What kind of servers should be used
- What settings?
- Cassandra is CPU bound before being memory bound
- Local storage vs Block storage
- I/O
- Kernel settings
- Network settings
- Configuration

Performance affected by several additional factors

- 1. Workload mix
- 2. Co-located clusters
- 3. Network design

Applications running in your ecosystem

- What other apps or frameworks or clusters are running?
- How you can carve up infrastructure resources?
- How to observe where you have spare capacity?
- Scheduling appropriately
- Maintaining performance

An example - where Cassandra was running in a containerized environment

- 1. CoreOS
- 2. Converged infrastructure
- 3. Mesos
- 4. Docker
- 5. Single flat 10Gig network
- 6. Co-tenant applications other than Cassandra present on machine fairly common given that a lot of deployments use Spark co-located with Cassandra.

Performance numbers for Containerized environment

At a customer site ten node cluster gave a sustained throughput of 550,000 ops/sec in a mixed 80/20 read/write workload where each node has 40 cores

Finding:

Cassandra, when co-tenancy of multiple high network usage containers was blocked, was able to attain over 550,000 writes/second in a 10 node cluster using 55,000 writes/second per node is good and clearly meets or exceeds. CPU utilization never exceeded 25% of the available 400 cores. If the applications were not blocked peak throughput fell to 40% and 95% latency was 10 milliseconds while MAX latency was 500 milliseconds

Example Client to cluster on Remote Rack - Mixed load

Throughput

10,653 op/s [READ: 7,989 op/s, WRITE: 2,664 op/s]

Latency median : 80.4 ms [READ: 80.5 ms, WRITE: 80.4 ms]

Latency 95th percentile: 112.9 ms [READ: 114.0 ms, WRITE: 114.7 ms]

Latency 99.9th percentile: 148.6 ms [READ: 159.5 ms, WRITE: 153.8ms]

Latency max : 313.6 ms [READ: 313.6 ms, WRITE: 313.5ms]

Thank you and we would like to acknowledge

- 1. Support from Packet.net
- 2. Support from IBM GEP
- 3. Support from AWS through the activate program
- 4. Questions?

Contact info:

mksingh@mitylytics.com

www.mitylytics.com

Appendix

Contains - Pricing for AWS from AWS

Jvm profile - Branch prediction misses on ARMv8

1.65% java libjvm.so [.] ClassFileParser::parse method 1.29% java libjvm.so [.] CodeHeap::find start 1.26% java libjvm.so [.] Rewriter::rewrite bytecodes 1.26% java libjvm.so [.] SymbolTable::lookup only 1.18% java libjvm.so [.] SymbolTable::basic add 1.11% java libjvm.so [.] Monitor::lock 1.11% java libpthread-2.23.so [.] pthread getspecific 1.08% java libjvm.so [.] constantPoolHandle::remove [.] ClassFileParser::parse_constant_pool_entries 1.05% java libjvm.so [.] InterpreterRuntime::resolve_i 1.05% java libjvm.so [kernel.kallsyms] [k] _raw_spin_unlock_irqrestore 0.90% java 0.88% java libjvm.so [.] Rewriter::compute_index_map

a.	0.52% java	[kernel.kallsyms	s] [k]vma_link_list
b.	0.52% java	libjvm.so	[.] methodHandle::remove
C.	0.79% java	libjvm.so	[.] Symbol::operator new
d.	0.78% java	libjvm.so	[.] binary_search
e.	0.74% java	libc-2.23.so	[.] memset
f.	0.73% java	libjvm.so	[.] SpaceManager::allocate_work
g.	0.71% java	libjvm.so	[.] ConstMethod::allocate
h.	0.67% java	libjvm.so	[.] TypeArrayKlass::allocate_common
i.	0.66% java	[kernel.kallsym	s] [k] link_path_walk
j.	0.62% java	libjvm.so	[.] vmSymbols::find_sid
k.	0.62% java	libjvm.so	[.] Symbol::equals
l.	0.62% java	libc-2.23.so	[.] _IO_getc
m.	0.61% java	libjvm.so	[.] AdapterHandlerLibrary::get_adapter
n.	0.61% java	[kernel.kallsym	s] [k] do_group_exit
0.	0.60% java	libc-2.23.so	[.] strnlen
p.	0.60% java	[kernel.kallsym	s] [k] mprotect_fixup
q.	0.60% java	libjvm.so	[.] icache_flush
r.	0.59% java	libjvm.so	[.] Monitor::ILock
S.	0.56% java	libjvm.so	[.] InterpreterRuntime::resolve_get_put
t.	0.55% java	libjvm.so	[.] Metaspace::allocate
u.	0.54% java	libjvm.so	[.] klassVtable::compute_vtable_size_and_num_mirandas
٧.	0.52% java	[kernel.kallsym	s] [k]vma_link_list
W.	0.52% java	libjvm.so	[.] methodHandle::remove

	•	•	
2.	0.78% java	libjvm.so	[.] binary_search
3.	0.74% java	libc-2.23.so	[.] memset
4.	0.73% java	libjvm.so	[.] SpaceManager::allocate_work
5.	0.71% java	libjvm.so	[.] ConstMethod::allocate
6.	0.67% java	libjvm.so	[.] TypeArrayKlass::allocate_common
7.	0.66% java	[kernel.kallsyn	ns] [k] link_path_walk
8.	0.62% java	libjvm.so	[.] vmSymbols::find_sid
9.	0.62% java	libjvm.so	[.] Symbol::equals
10.	0.62% java	libc-2.23.so	[.] _IO_getc
11.	0.61% java	libjvm.so	[.] AdapterHandlerLibrary::get_adapter
12.	0.61% java	[kernel.kallsym	s] [k] do_group_exit
13.	0.60% java	libc-2.23.so	[.] strnlen
14.	0.60% java	[kernel.kallsyr	ms] [k] mprotect_fixup
15.	0.60% java	libjvm.so	[.] icache_flush
16.	0.59% java	libjvm.so	[.] Monitor::ILock
17.	0.56% java	libjvm.so	[.] InterpreterRuntime::resolve_get_put
18.	0.55% java	libjvm.so	[.] Metaspace::allocate
19.	0.54% java	libjvm.so	[.]klassVtable::compute_vtable_size_and_num_mirandas

[.] Symbol::operator new

0.79% java

libjvm.so

AWS - GPU instance pricing - Very expensive

Name	GPUs	vCPUs	RAM (GiB)	Network Bandwidth	Price/Hour*	RI Price / Hour**
p2.xlarge	1	4	61	High	\$0.900	\$0.425
p2.8xlarge	8	32	488	10 Gbps	\$7.200	\$3.400
p2.16xlarge	16	64	732	20 Gbps	\$14.400	\$6.800

1-YEAR TERM

\$1303.05

1

Effective Hourly**

\$3.570

On-Demand Hourly

\$5.491 per Hour

Partial Upfront

nd 24% \$4.176

Savings

over

On-Dema

35%

Payment Option Monthly* Upfront

\$15633

No Upfront \$0 \$3048.48

Model	vCPU	Mem (GiB)	Networking Performance	Storage (TB)	
i3.large	2	15.25	Up to 10 Gigabit	1 x 0.475 NVMe SSD	
i3.xlarge	4	30.5	Up to 10 Gigabit	1 x 0.95 NVMe SSD	
i3.2xlarge	8	61	Up to 10 Gigabit	1 x 1.9 NVMe SSD	
i3.4xlarge	16	122	Up to 10 Gigabit	2 x 1.9 NVMe SSD	
i3.8xlarge	32	244	10 Gigabit	4 x 1.9 NVMe SSD	
i3.16xlarge	64	488	20 Gigabit	8 x 1.9 NVMe SSD	

13 4xlarge pricing

10 IVIAI DO BIJOIII D							
		STANDARI					
Payment	Upfron	Monthly	Effective	Savings over	On-Demand		
Option	t	*	Hourly**	On-Demand	Hourly		
No Upfront	\$0	\$692.77	\$0.949	24%			
Partial Upfront	\$3553	\$296.38	\$0.812	35%	\$1.248 per Hour		
All Upfront	\$6964	\$0	\$0.795	36%			