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Agenda

- Mission: Build lightweight real-time environments for Linux/ARM
- Review of existing technologies
- RTMux: Resource-Multiplexing Real-time Executive
- Linux-friendly remote communication mechanisms

- Full source available: https://github.com/rtmux
- This work is sponsored by ITRI Taiwan and Delta Electronics
Mission:
Build Lightweight Real-time environments for Linux/ARM Applications
In short words, it is LOVER
LOVER = 
\textbf{L}inux \textbf{O}ptimized for \textbf{V}irtualization, \textbf{E}mbedded, and \textbf{R}ealtime
Use Case for RTMux

Quadcopter with Computer Vision
Use Case for RTMux

Quadcopter with Computer Vision

- **Hard real-time**
  - Autonomous Flight Modes (Landing/Take-off)
    - altitude control, feedback-loop control, RC
  - Autopilot, autonomous navigation
- **Soft real-time**
  - Stream real-time flight data on-screen over video
  - Parallel Tracking and Mapping (PTAM), and the detected walls are visualized in 3D with mapped textures.

Source: https://github.com/nymanjens/ardrone-exploration
External Autonomous Navigation

- Various Flight Modes-Stabilize, Alt Hold, Loiter, Auto Mode.
- For the AUTO mode, GPS is necessary.
- Waypoints are set in advance.
Internal Autonomous Navigation

- GPS fails in a closed-door environment.
  - Detect a door/window and go out where GPS access is present.
- Design a controller for navigation of quadcopter from indoor to outdoor environments.
- SONAR and Computer vision

Source: http://wiki.ros.org/tum_ardrone
RTMux: Multiplexer for Linux-based Real-time Applications
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Review of Existing Technologies
Realtime Performance

Application program
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one common library
Real-time Approaches

Two major approaches real time Linux

- rt-preempt (PREEMPT_RT patch)
  - Allows preemption, so minimize latencies
  - Execute all activities (including IRQ) in “schedulable/thread” context
  - Many of the RT patch have been merged

- Linux (realtime) extensions
  - Add extra layer between hardware and the Linux kernel to manage real-time tasks separately
A concept linked to that of real time is preemption: the ability of a system to interrupt tasks at many “preemption points”. The longer the non-interruptible program units are, the longer is the waiting time (‘latency’) of a higher priority task before it can be started or resumed. GNU/Linux is “user-space preemptible”: it allows user tasks to be interrupted at any point. The job of real-time extensions is to make system calls preemptible as well.
Part I: Linux real-time preemption

http://www.kernel.org/pub/linux/kernel/projects/rt/

- led by kernel developers including Ingo Molnar, Thomas Gleixner, and Steven Rostedt
- Large testing efforts at RedHat, IBM, OSADL, Linutronix
- Goal is to improve real time performance
- Configurable in the Processor type and features (x86), Kernel Features (arm) or Platform options (ppc)...
Wrong ideas about real-time preemption

- *It will improve throughput and overall performance*
  - **Wrong**: it will degrade overall performance.

- *It will reduce latency*
  - **Often wrong**: The maximum latency will be reduced.

The primary goal is to make the system predictable and deterministic.
PREEMPT_RT: complete RT preemption

Replace non-preemptible constructs with preemptible ones

▶ Make OS preemptible as much as possible
  ▶ except preempt_disable and interrupt disable
▶ Make Threaded (schedulable) IRQs
  ▶ so that it can be scheduled
▶ spinlocks converted to mutexes (a.k.a. sleeping spinlocks)
  ▶ Not disabling interrupt and allows preemption
  ▶ Works well with thread interrupts
Toward complete RT preemption

Most important aspects of Real-time

Controlling latency by allowing kernel to be preemptible everywhere
original Linux Kernel
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Threaded Interrupts

- Handle interrupt by interrupt handler thread
- Interrupt handlers run in normal kernel threads
  - Priorities can be configured
- Main interrupt handler
  - Do minimal work and wake-up the corresponding thread
- Thread interrupts allows to use sleeping spinlocks
- In PREEMPT_RT, all interrupt handlers are switched to threaded interrupt
Threaded Interrupts

- The vanilla kernel

- Interrupts as threads

- Real world behavior
Benchmarking

cyclicstart

- measuring accuracy of sleep and wake operations of highly prioritized realtime threads

- https://rt.wiki.kernel.org/index.php/CyclicTest

```
linux@chat:-/Projects/rt-tests$ sudo ./cyclictest -a -t -n -p99
# /dev/cpu_dma_latency set to 0us
policy: fifo: loadavg: 0.54 0.69 0.67 6/417 3256


T: 0 (2995) P:99 I:1000 C:1030921 Min: 5 Act: 7 Avg: 12 Max: 32
T: 3 (2998) P:99 I:2500 C:412364 Min: 5 Act: 7 Avg: 13 Max: 34
```

- vanilla kernel: Worst case latency: hundreds of usec
- PREEMPT_RT: Worst case latency: tens of usec
Part II: Linux hard real-time extensions

Three generations

- RTLinux
- RTAI
- Xenomai

A common principle

- Add an extra layer between the hardware and the Linux kernel, to manage real-time tasks separately.

Diagram:

- Real-time tasks
- Linux kernel
- Micro/Nano-kernel
- Hardware
# Interrupt Response Time

**PREEMPT**: standard kernel with `CONFIG_PREEMPT` ("Preemptible Kernel (Low-Latency Desktop)") enabled

```
cyclictest -m -n -p99 -t1 -i10000 -1360000
```

**XENOMAI**: Kernel + Xenomai 2.6.0-rc4 + I-Pipe 1.18-03

```
cyclictest -n -p99 -t1 -i10000 -1360000
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Avg</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XENOMAI</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREEMPT</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hardware**: Freescale i.MX53 ARM Cortex-A8 processor operating at 1GHz.

**Time in micro second.**
Xenomai project

http://www.xenomai.org/

- Started in the RTAI project (called RTAI / fusion).
- Skins mimicking the APIs of traditional RTOS such as VxWorks, pSOS+, and VRTXsa.
- Initial goals: facilitate the porting of programs from traditional RTOS to RTAI on GNU / Linux.
- Now an independent project and an alternative to RTAI. Many contributors left RTAI for Xenomai, frustrated by its goals and development style.
Xenomai architecture

- Linux application
  - glibc

- VxWorks application
  - glibc
  - Xenomai libv-xworks

- POSIX application
  - glibc
  - Xenomai libpthread_rt

Pieces added by Xenomai

- Xenomai skins

System calls

- VFS
- Network
- Memory
- ... (other system calls)

Xenomai RTOS (nucleus)

Adeos i-pipe

Pieces added by Xenomai

- i-pipe = interrupt pipeline
Original Linux

- **User space**
  - Runs in User Mode: can only address user space
  - pthread_create
  - Linux thread
    - main
    - readline(..), printf(..)

- **Kernel space**
  - Kernel module
  - Linux syscall
    - filesystem module
      - Communicates with harddisk to read the file
    - Communicates with terminal to display text of printf
  - Communicates with terminal to display text of printf

- **Linux scheduler**
Xenomai (kernel space)

- Runs in Kernel Mode:
  - can address User and Kernel space

Shell:
# insmod <module>

Linux Kernel

Kernel module:
init_module()

RT task:
rt_task_start

function call

Xenomai Kernel

Real-time scheduler

Linux scheduler

User space

Kernel space

Low prio

High prio

Low priority

Hard realtime

Not realtime
Xenomai (user space)

- **Kernel space**
  - **Linux syscall**
  - **Xenomai syscall**
  - **Xenomai Kernel**

- **User space**
  - **main**
  - **Linux thread**
  - **Xenomai task**

- **Linux scheduler**
  - **rt_thread_create**
  - **rt_task_create, rt_task_start**
  - **FIFO**

- **Real-time scheduler**
  - **Xenomai task**
  - **xenomai syscall**

**Runs in User Mode:**
- Can only address user space

**Soft realtime**
- **Low prio**

**Hard realtime**
- **High prio**
Xenomai internals: ipipe

- ipipe = Interrupt pipeline abstraction
  - guest OSes are regarded as prioritized domains.
  - For each event (interrupts, exceptions, syscalls, ...), the various domains may handle the event or pass it down the pipeline.

```
Per-CPU Adeos Pipeline
```

```
Interrupts & Traps
```

```
Highest Priority Domain X
```

```
Root Domain
```

```
Lowest Priority Domain Y
```

```
Linux Kernel
```
If a real time domain (like Xenomai) has higher priority it is the first in the pipeline

- It will receive interrupt notification first without delay (or at least with predictable latency)
- Then it can be decided if interrupts are propagated to low priority domains (like Linux) or not
The high priority domain is at the beginning of the pipeline, so events are delivered first to it.

This pipeline is referred as interrupt pipeline or I-pipe.

There is a pipeline for each CPU.
The Linux domain is always the root domain, whatever is its position in the pipeline.

Other domains are started by the root domain.

Linux starts and loads the kernel modules that implement other domains.
Each domain may be “stalled”, meaning that it does not accept interrupts.

Hardware interrupts are not disabled however (except for the domain leading the pipeline), instead the interrupts received during that time are logged and replayed when the domain is unstalled.
Real-Time Scheduler

- Xenomai extends the Linux kernel and is integrated as part of OS.
- A task with a period = 15 us, shown in light blue.
- While this real-time task is not being executed, Xenomai invokes the regular Linux scheduler which executes tasks as normal, shown in dark green.
Problems about Xenomai 2

- Large Linux modifications are required to enable ipipe
  (diffstat output)
  
  
  271 files changed, 14218 insertions(+), 625 deletions(-)

- Maintenance and incompatibility issues
  - POSIX skin

- Xenomai 3 is supporting PREEMPT_RT, but the real-time performance is as good as dual-kernel approach
RTMux: Our Real-time Solution
(Lightweight and easier to maintain)
RTMux Goals

- Utilize the existing Linux mechanisms as possible
  - 400 LoC modifications!
- Lightweight hypervisor for both Linux and RTOS
- Of course, open source: https://github.com/rtmux

- Hypervisor: GPLv2
- RT-Thread: GPLv2
Real-time domain vs. Linux

RT-Thread

- DFS
- shell
- socket

RTMux + V-Bus

ARM9, ARM Cortex-A5/A8/A9

Linux Kernel

- console
- TCP/IP stack
- VFS
- RTC, Drv etc.
V-Bus: cross Virtual-machine Bus
Ring-buffer for V-Bus
Linux communications via V-Bus
Minimal patch is required to enable RTMux

$ diffstat rtmux/patches/0001-RTMux.patch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>+/-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kconfig</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makefile</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>common/gic.c</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>include/asm/assembler.h</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>include/asm/domain.h</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>include/asm/irqflags.h</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>include/asm/mach/map.h</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...  

21 files changed, 568 insertions(+), 27 deletions(-)
Hardware Support

- ARM Cortex-A8 is supported
  - Verified on Realview Cortex-A8 and Beaglebone Black
  - No VE (virtualization extension) required

- Virtual IRQ

- Create mappings for VMM, which shares memory regions with Linux

- Since the device is actually a plain memory with its functionalities emulated, the multiplex could be easily implemented as following:

```
Guest OS runs in pure user-mode, and RTMux applies the domain field in the page table to emulate the privilege level for the guest OS.
```
Reference Hardware: Beaglebone Black

1GHz TI Sitara ARM Cortex-A8 processor
512MB DDR3L 400MHz memory
2 x 46 pin expansion headers for GPIO, SPI, I2C, AIN, Serial, CAN
microHDMI, microSD, miniUSB Client, USB Host, 10/100 Ethernet

PRU (Programmable Real-time Unit) can access I/O at 200MHz

- one instruction takes 5ns, be very careful about the timing
- write code in assembly

write an integer to the PRU register R30 which takes one instruction (5ns), do some calculations and checks and repeat the write instruction. The data are immediately (within 5ns) available at the output pins and get converted into an analog signal.
Interrupt Latency and Jitter Test

- **Background**
  - Measure RT interrupt latency while Linux domain is running vision programs.

- **Approach**

  ![Diagram]

  Procedure:
  1. MCU generates IRQ request per 100us (10K/s).
  2. Assert MCU IO in the same time when IRQ generated.
  3. ARM identifies IRQ request and send ack to MCU. Assert IO in the same time
  4. Totally, send 100K times IRQ

- **Result**
  - Max/Average interrupt latency: 3.567us / 582ns (no load)
  - Max/Average interrupt latency: 5.191us / 806ns (normal load)
# Reference Results with Xenomai

## User-mode latency

- Sampling period: 1000 us
- Test mode: periodic user-mode task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTT</th>
<th>00:00:01</th>
<th>(periodic user-mode task, 1000 us period, priority 99)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTH</td>
<td>lat min</td>
<td>lat avg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTD</td>
<td>8.791</td>
<td>8.999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Kernel-mode latency

- Sampling period: 1000 us
- Test mode: periodic kernel task

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTT</th>
<th>00:00:00</th>
<th>(in-kernel periodic task, 100 us period, priority 99)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTH</td>
<td>lat min</td>
<td>lat avg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTD</td>
<td>-0.920</td>
<td>-0.804</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Linux was not designed as a RTOS

You can get **soft real-time** with the standard kernel preemption mode. **Most** of the latencies will be reduced, offering better quality, but probably not all of them.

However, using **hard real-time extensions will not guarantee that your system is hard real-time**.

Your system and applications will also have to be designed properly (correct priorities, use of deterministic APIs, allocation of critical resources ahead of time...).

RTMux demonstrates the ability to isolate the real-time domain from Linux kernel base in minimal changes with simplified partitioning techniques, suitable for power-efficient ARM cores.
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