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Hardware Sharing in **Server Farms** is unthinkable without virtualization support.

- IBM's CP-40 system, in mass production since 1967

Starting in 2005, CPU vendors have added **hardware virtualization** assistance to their products. Virtualization is nowadays commonly used on **Personal Computer** Desktop Machines.

Hypervisor are getting momentum in embedded **automotive** electronic control units on feature rich and powerful application processors.

- OpenSynergy’s Telematics Connectivity Unit, in mass production since 2014

Virtualization systems can run multiple software systems with very different requirements independently of each other.
A hypervisor makes it possible to build *mixed-criticality systems* and can integrate safely and securely:

- Software that is developed according to different quality standards:
  - **Related to safety** (different ASIL levels, isolating safety from functionality)
    - **Related to security** (different levels of trust, isolating attacks)
  - **Related to reliability** (different levels of fault tolerance, isolating faults)
- Software that has different *real-time* (e.g. RTOS vs. generic OS) and *boot-time* requirements

A hypervisor:

- Enables the use of optimally suited operating systems and frameworks (Linux, Android, AUTOSAR, RTOS)
- **Isolates** faults (safety, reliability) and attacks (security) and therefore minimizes Qualification/Certification effort
- supports ASIL and MILS decomposition
- Enables **modular** development and software updates
A **Virtual Platform** would allow the development of virtual machine guests that could be moved among different hypervisor systems and/or HW platforms **without further modification.**
Target: Converge **multiple** Operating Systems on a single SoC

- **Guaranteed** independence of the individual Operating Systems by the Hypervisor (Isolation in Time and Space, ISO26262)
- Cooperation (via **Communication**) - with monitoring of conformity
- Additional option of cooperation (via **Sharing**) - with monitoring of conformity
Concepts - Device Virtualization in COQOS

in Hypervisor

- Only used for UART (optionally)
- not recommended for other devices as the Hypervisor is minimalistic.

Example: UART

device with virtualization support

- COQOS supports this when the SoC hardware supports virtualized devices
- Recommended wherever the hardware supports it, as it tends to give the best performance and separation

Example: GPU on RCAR-H3

low-level client-server

- Single driver in VM that acts as "server"
- Driver-specific sharing logic is needed.
- Other VMs use "virtual driver"
- Compromise between performance and flexibility

Example: shared block device

distributed frameworks over VNET

- Allows reuse of existing frameworks for distributed applications in a virtualized environment over VNET.
- Supports complex sharing semantics at the cost of more overhead

Example: NFS, PULSE AUDIO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reusability</th>
<th>Platform independence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard library virtualization (OpenGL, DRM, Android HAL …)</strong></td>
<td>As long as the same hypervisor is used</td>
<td>As good as vendor interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement hypervisor specific standard libraries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VIRTIO</strong></td>
<td>virtio support is available in Linux, Android and many other operating systems</td>
<td>Builds upon the kernel-userspace interface of Linux and allows large flexibility because the devices themselves make no assumption about the hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement virtio based devices that follow either existing standards or specify new ones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypervisor vendor custom</strong></td>
<td>As long as the same hypervisor is used</td>
<td>Implementation specific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop virtual devices optimized to be used with a particular hypervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trade-off between development effort, reusability, platform independence, availability and maturity
Introduction to VIRTIO

- VIRTIO “De-Facto Standard For Virtual I/O Devices” [Russel 2008]
- Formally standardized since March 2016 (OASIS VIRTIO-v1.0)
- VIRTIO provides the transport layer and device models for many devices
  - Block Storage, SCSI
  - Network
  - Console
  - crypto
  - GPU
  - Input (hid)
  - vssock
  - 9pfs (File Server)
  - Many more in development (vIOMMU, etc.)
- For the Automotive domain there are still missing pieces
  - Audio
  - Sensors
  - Media Acceleration (VPU, IPU, CODEC)
  - USB, CAN, Ethernet AVB
Device refers to the implementation of the virtual/para-virtual device, also known as Backend or Server

Driver refers to the guest driver, also known as Frontend or Client

Device Host is the guest that provides the Device to other guests

Device Guest is the consumer of a Device

Guest is a partition or virtual machine
Virtualized device Architecture with VIRTIO

Bulk data transport via DMA-like memory model
- Buffer allocations handled by „Driver“ part (client)
- Direct R/W access to allocated buffers in the „Device“ part (server)

Metadata transport via virt-queues (ring buffers, asynchronous pipeline)
VIRTIO driver Stack

- App
- App
- Frameworks
- Plumbing Layer
- Kernel Subsystem
  - virtio-<device>
  - virtio_<transport>
- Hypervisor
- SoC

Open Source

- Driver layer
- Transport layer
Benefits of VIRTIO

- Standardized
- Proven in Use
  - Well tested device models
- Established community
  - IBM, Red Hat, Siemens, Huawei, Oracle, ARM, Intel
- Efficient and performant
- Diverse operating system support
  - Linux, BSD, Windows, UEFI
  - Driver maintenance done upstream
- Supported by many VMMs and Clouds
  - Qemu, kvm-tool
  - ARM Foundation model / Fast model
  - Google Compute Cloud, DigitalOcean, OHV
Benefits for the involved parties

Community
• Reuse in different domains (economy of scale)

Market
• More mature solutions
• More flexibility / choice

Customer
• Faster time to market
• Smaller price

Hypervisor vendors
• Less effort / maintenance
Required I/O devices shall be defined and agreed by the automotive industry and hypervisor vendors.

Neutral industry bodies act as forum between
- Hypervisor Vendors
- SW-Tier 1/OEM
- Hardware manufacturers

**GENIVI**
- Maintain and evolve automotive domain specific APIs and standards

**AGL**
- Provide collaboration with upstream kernel project and Linux Foundation

**Linux Foundation**
- Connect Automotive with Cloud + Enterprise Computing

Establish regular events for interoperability testing (plug feast) and standard steering
The availability of powerful SoCs allows **Convergence** of multiple ECUs into a single ECU.

Convergence enables efficient **device sharing**.

Device sharing currently lacks **standardization**.

**VIRTIO** is **THE** candidate to harmonize the interface between guest and hypervisor.

Neutral industry bodies (GENIVI, AGL, and Linux Foundation) should act as forum between
- Hypervisor Vendors
- SW-Tier 1/OEM
- Hardware manufacturers

Based on the result we can tackle the vision „**Virtual Platform”**
- VMs without modification
Thank You

Questions? Comments?